

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE TRANSPACIFIC  
PASSENGER AIR  
TRANSPORTATION ANTITRUST  
LITIGATION

Case No. [07-cv-05634-CRB](#)


**ORDER DENYING MOTION TO  
COMPEL STRICT COMPLIANCE  
WITH SECOND DISTRIBUTION  
ORDER**

This Document relates to:  
ALL ACTIONS

Objector and Claimant David Gould's Motion to Compel Strict Compliance with Second Distribution Order, see Mot. (dkt. 1408) is DENIED. The motion essentially rehashes Gould's earlier Motion to Compel Prompt Compliance with Second Distribution Order, albeit with a different adjective in the title. See Earlier Mot. (dkt. 1400). The Court denied that motion less than a month ago. See Order Denying Earlier Mot. (dkt. 1405). The new motion fails to satisfy the requirements for reconsideration. See Moraga v. Wolfson, No. 2:16-CV-287 JCM (VCF), 2019 WL 11707395, at \*1 (D. Nev. Oct. 10, 2019) ("A motion for reconsideration is not the proper vehicle for rehashing old arguments, see Merozoite v. Thorp, 52 F.3d 252, 255 (9th Cir. 1995), and is 'not intended to give an unhappy litigant one additional chance to sway the judge.' Durkin v. Taylor, 444 F. Supp. 879, 889 (E.D. Va. 1977)."). Moreover, the Court remains satisfied with Plaintiffs' assertion that "Plaintiffs and Rust anticipate making" the "distribution on or before July 1, 2025." See Response (dkt. 1403).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: May [29](#), 2025

  
CHARLES R. BREYER  
United States District Judge